

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIDMATIONALO  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/688,459                                | 10/13/2000    | Dirk M. Anderson     | 2852-C                          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|   | 90 09/30/2003 |                      |                                 |                  |
| IMMUNEX CORPORATION<br>LAW DEPARTMENT     |               |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |
| 51 UNIVERSITY STREET<br>SEATTLE, WA 98101 |               |                      | O HARA, EILEEN B                |                  |
| ,   |               |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 1646<br>DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003 | 12               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/688,459   | ANDERSON ET AL.  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|  | Eileen O'Hara  | 1646   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply  | n appears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | JN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (a period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH | ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |  |  |
| • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | This action is non-final.  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all  | lowance except for formal matter   | ro proposition and the last  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims   | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.   | 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-90</u> is/are pending in the applic   | ation  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without   |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-71 and 75-90</u> is/are allowed.  | urawn from consideration.  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72-74</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | d/or election requirement  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | or or or other requirement.  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac  | cepted or b) objected to by the  | Examiner.  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to  | the drawing(s) be held in abevance   | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)  |  |
| 11) Ine proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a)□ approved b)□ disa <sub>l</sub>   | pproved by the Examiner.   |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in  | reply to this Office action.   |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner.  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11  | 9(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |  |  |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume   | nts have been received in Applic   | cation No  |  |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri<br>application from the International E<br>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | st of the certified copies not rece  | eived.   |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11   | 9(e) (to a provisional application)  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestrachment(s)</li> </ul>   | rovisional application has been  | rocoived   |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ral Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |
| Patent and Trademark Office DL-326 (Rev. 04-01)  | Action Summary   |  |  |

Art Unit: 1646

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Prosecution Reopened**

1. This application is no longer suspended, and prosecution on the merits is re-opened due to new grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph.

### Change of Examiner

2. The Examiner of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara in Group Art Unit 1646.

### Status of Claims

3. Claims 36-90 are pending in the instant application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification describes a murine polypeptide sequence consisting of SEQ ID NO: 11 and a human polypeptide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 13, which are

Art Unit: 1646

85% identical and are shown to have the following activities: binding RANK and upregulation of the transcription factor NF-kB. However, the claims as written include nucleic acids encoding polypeptides comprising homologues, and encompass polypeptides that can vary substantially in amino acid composition. The instant disclosure of a two orthologs, that of SEQ ID NO: 11 and 13 with the instantly disclosed specific activities, does not adequately support the scope of the claimed genus, which encompasses a substantial variety of subgenera. A genus claim may be supported by a representative number of species as set forth in *Regents of the University of California v Eli Lilly & Co*, 119F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997), which states:

"To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention". Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); In re Gosteli, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1980) ("[T]he description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed.") Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." Lockwood, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

An adequate written description of a DNA, such as the cDNA of the recombinant plasmids and microorganisms of the '525 patent, "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. Fiers v. Revel, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606."

Art Unit: 1646

A description of a genus of cDNAs may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus, or of a recitation of structural features common to the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. The instant specification discloses, however, two isolated orthologs of SEQ ID NOS: 11 and 13. Protein function, however, cannot be reliably predicted from protein sequence homology. For example, Transforming Growth Factor (TGF-beta) Family OP-1 induces metanephrongenesis whereas closely related TGF-beta family members-BMP-2 and TGF-beta1-have no effect on metanephrogenesis under identical conditions (Vukicevic et al., 1996, PNAS USA 93:9021-9026). Platelet-derived Growth Factor (PDGF) Family VEGF, a member of the PDGF family, is mitogenic for vascular endothelial cells but not for vascular smooth muscle cells while PDGF is mitogenic for vascular smooth muscle cells but not for vascular endothelial cells (Tischer et al., U.S. Patent 5,194,596, column 2, line 46 to column 3, line 2). Finally, vertebrate growth hormone of 198 amino acids becomes an antagonist (inhibitor of growth) when a single amino acid is changed (Kopchick et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,350,836). Even 99% homology does not allow predictability in this instance. Given the unpredictability of homology comparisons, and the fact that the specification fails to provide objective evidence that the additional sequences are indeed species of the claimed genus it cannot be established that a representative number of species have been disclosed to support the genus claim. No activity is set forth for the additional sequences. The instantly claimed genus is not so limited and the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings to enable one of skill to identify the polynucleotides encompassed.

Art Unit: 1646

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 72 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 72 and 73 are indefinite because they encompass a nucleic acid molecule which hybridizes under the conditions recited in the claims. The specification describes various hybridization and wash conditions, and the wash conditions are important to the final hybridization results obtained. Without the wash conditions, the claims are considered indefinite, since the resulting claims do not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

#### Conclusion

- 6.1 Claims 36-71 and 75-90 are allowed.
- 6.2 Claims 72-74 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3312. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers Before Final filed by RightFax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Official papers After Final filed by RightFax should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Art Unit: 1646

Page 6

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Clear B. O'Hara Patent Examiner